



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/381,528 01/04/00 TAKETOMI

Y YAO-V04302

EXAMINER

MM91/0720

ANDREW L NEY
RATNER & PRESTIA
ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN
SUITE 301 PO BOX 980
VALLEY FORGE PA 19482-0980

CHANG, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

DATE MAILED:

07/20/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or
proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/381,528

Applicant(s)

TAKETOMI ET AL.

Examiner

Audrey Y. Chang

Art Unit

2872

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☒ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.


Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____
5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
- Claim(s) allowed: _____
- Claim(s) objected to: _____
- Claim(s) rejected: 4-7, 13, and 15-18.
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
11. ☐ Other: _____

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. Claim 4 has been amended, as admitted by the applicant, to include the feature "object light beam is obtained by irradiating the object which is positioned between a slit and the transmission-type hologram" which is completely different from the original feature "object light obtained by irradiating the object with diffused light having passing through the slit". The difference lies at the specific position relationship between the slit, the object and the transmission-type hologram, which is the key issue for the amendment set forth in paper number 12 as highlighted by the applicant in page 5 of remark of paper number 12. The newly amended claim 4 suggests that the transmission hologram exists before it is formed since at the stage of obtaining the object light to record the hologram, the hologram has already existed and has certain position relationships with respect to the slit and the object. This is impossible and is the base for the new matter rejection. Such feature is not present in the original claims 1 and 4. The new matter rejections which necessitated the final rejection set forth in the previous Office Action dated July 6, 2001 therefore are proper.



Audrey Chang
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800